IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

THURSDAY, THE 7TH JUNE 2007 / 17TH JYAISHTA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 524 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.14339/1995 Dated 26/05/2006

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

P.M.KRISHNADASAN, BINDER GRADE II,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY PRESS (PO), CALICUT
UNIVERSITY.

BY ADV. SRI.SAIGI JACOB PALATTY

RESPONDENTS:

P.P.MOHAMMED, FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN,
AGE ABOUT 45, THE REGISTRAR, CALICUT
UNIVERSITY PRESS (PO), CALICUT UNIVERSITY.

BY SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN, SC FOR CALICUT UNIVE

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

.....

C.O.C. No.524 of 2007

.....

Dated this the 7th day of June, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Since judgment is complied with, even though not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, there is no scope for proceeding with the contempt case. If petitioner has grievance against the order passed pursuant to judgment, it is for the petitioner to challenge the same. The Contempt Case is closed leaving freedom to the petitioner to challenge the order and if there is non-compliance of any part of the judgment, the petitioner is given freedom to raise the same in fresh challenge against the proceeding.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
Judge

pms